

Level of Birth Preparedness among Pregnant Women in Allied Hospitals of RMU, Rawalpindi

Fatima Khalid¹, Mohammad Qasim Abbas², Ayesha Tanvir³, Ayesha Sarwar⁴, Moniba Iqbal⁵, Afifa Kulsoom⁶

^{1,2,3,4} Students of Rawalpindi Medical University

⁵ PGT Community Medicine, RMU

⁶ Assistant Professor, Community Medicine Dept., RMU

Author's Contribution

¹²³ Conception of study

³⁴⁵⁶ Experimentation/Study Conduction

³⁴ Analysis/Interpretation/Discussion

³⁴⁵⁶ Manuscript Writing

¹²³⁴⁵ Critical Review

¹²³⁴⁵⁶ Facilitation and Material Analysis

Corresponding Author

Fatima Khalid,

MBBS Student,

Rawalpindi Medical University,

Rawalpindi

Email: fatimakhalido099@gmail.com

Article Processing

Received: 05/05/2024

Accepted:

Cite this Article: Iqbal, M., Kulsoom, A., Khalid, F., Abbas, M. Q., Tanvir, A., & Sarwar, A. (2024). Level of birth preparedness among pregnant women in allied hospitals of RMU, Rawalpindi, SJPMC, 28(1)

Conflict of Interest: Nil

Funding Source: Nil

Access Online:



ABSTRACT

Introduction: Birth preparedness is a comprehensive approach to timely utilization of skilled maternal and neonatal healthcare, addressing high maternal mortality rates in developing countries. Increased awareness about birth preparedness can facilitate early decision-making, ensure safe pregnancies, and minimize delivery-related complications.

Objectives: To assess the level of birth preparedness among pregnant women in allied hospitals of RMU and to identify various factors associated with birth preparedness.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study involved 270 third-trimester pregnant women from allied hospitals of RMU. Participants completed a self-structured questionnaire. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS version 25, with frequencies and percentages calculated for categorical variables. The Chi-square test determined associations between birth preparedness and numerous factors, with a significance level set at $p < 0.05$.

Results: Of the total 270 pregnant women, 40.7% were found to be prepared for birth. The factors significantly associated with birth preparedness were the mother's education ($p=0.045$), the husband's occupational status ($p=0.012$), family income ($p=0.000$), increasing age of the women ($p=0.004$), and the last place of childbirth ($p=0.016$).

Conclusions: The level of birth preparedness in Rawalpindi, Punjab, stands at 40.7%. To enhance maternal and neonatal outcomes, it is essential to bolster counseling on the benefits of birth preparedness. This can be achieved through awareness programs led by community health workers.

Keywords: antenatal care; maternal mortality rate; birth preparedness; birth awareness.

Introduction

Early identification of antenatal risk factors and early preparation for dealing with them are necessary for both maternal and neonatal survival. Despite several global efforts, maternal death during childbirth remains an unresolved challenge for developing countries¹. Birth preparedness is defined as a comprehensive and extensive approach aimed at promoting the timely utilization of skilled maternal and neonatal health care². It is a crucial part of antenatal care³ and involves timely planning for both normal and complicated deliveries. It includes identifying a skilled birth attendant, choosing a place for delivery, deciding on the type of delivery, arranging for transport and money, identifying the blood group, and finding a compatible

donor. It also includes being aware of any health issues that may lead to complications during or after childbirth¹. The maternal mortality rate (MMR) in developing countries, including Pakistan, is 19 times higher compared to developed countries. Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia alone account for 87% of global maternal deaths. A low level of knowledge about birth preparedness and danger signs, along with illiteracy, are major factors responsible for this high MMR¹. Practicing birth preparedness in developing countries can play a significant role in reducing both maternal and neonatal mortality rates.

In September 2000, eight Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) were developed, one of which aimed to reduce the MMR by 75%. However, MMR remains unacceptably high in many developing countries³. One of the major reasons behind this high MMR is the low level of knowledge and practice of birth preparedness in developing countries compared to developed countries. A study conducted in Saudi Arabia showed that 65% of women had a good level of knowledge about birth preparedness. In contrast, research

conducted in Dodoma, Tanzania (a developing country), showed a low level of knowledge about obstetric and newborn danger signs, as well as a low level of birth preparedness. Key factors contributing to this low level of birth preparedness include low socioeconomic status, few or no antenatal visits, and illiteracy. Research conducted in Kenya showed a satisfactory level of birth preparedness at about 56.7%. A study conducted in KPK, Pakistan, like most other developing countries, revealed a low level of birth preparedness¹.

The findings of this study help to identify the gap and strengthen the action to increase the practice of birth preparedness among pregnant women. Awareness of birth preparedness will eventually enable women and their families to make early choices. Birth preparedness practices will ensure that women have safe pregnancies and minimize delivery-related complications¹². Birth preparedness is a safer and more effective motherhood strategy that will help reduce the maternal mortality rate, particularly in developing countries.

The objectives of this study are to assess the level of birth preparedness among pregnant women in the allied hospitals of RMU and to identify and recognize various factors associated with birth preparedness.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in three allied hospitals of RMU: Benazir Bhutto Hospital, Holy Family Hospital, and District Health Quarters in Rawalpindi. The study focused on pregnant women in their third trimester, aged 15-49 years, either visiting Gynecology outpatient departments or being admitted from April to October 2022. Using a descriptive cross-sectional design and non-random convenient sampling, a sample size of 270 was calculated based on WHO guidelines.

Table-I Table showing the association of numerous factors with birth preparedness

Sociodemographic & Obstetric characteristics	Significance (p-value)	Confidence Interval (I)
Age	0.004*	1.15-1.26
Residence	0.588	1.21-1.34
Father's education	0.284	1.88-2.15
Mother's education	0.045*	1.69-1.95
Father's occupation	0.012*	2.51-2.75
Mother's occupation	0.604	3.69-3.87
Family income	0.000*	1.86-2.16
Parity	0.05*	2.23-2.48
Last place of childbirth	0.016*	1.03-1.11
Previous C-Section	0.285	1.52-1.66
Birth related complications	0.572	1.56-1.70

Pregnant women meeting the inclusion criteria were included, while those in labor or experiencing emergencies were excluded. Data

collection employed a self-structured questionnaire covering sociodemographic characteristics, obstetric characteristics, birth preparedness components, and newborn care.

Interviews were conducted after obtaining informed consent. Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 25, with quantitative variables presented as mean \pm standard deviation and categorical variables as frequencies and percentages. The Pearson Chi-Square test assessed associations between birth preparedness and several factors, with a significance level of $p < 0.05$. Ethical considerations included approval from hospital authorities, maintaining participant confidentiality, and obtaining consent prior to data collection.

Results

A total of 270 pregnant women in the third trimester participated in this study. 63.3% of the population were less than 30 years of age. 184 (68.1%) were residing in urban areas. 48.9% had no formal education, 21.9% had primary education, 23.7% had

secondary education, and 5.6% had university-level education. Most participants (70%) had a family income of less than 30,000 PKR. The 25% of the women were experiencing their first pregnancy. 92.5% of the women gave birth to their last child in a health institution setting. 37.8% of the population had a history of previous C-sections, while 35.4% had a history of previous birth complications, and 46.3% had a history of pre-existing medical conditions.




Figure 1: Bar chart showing the levels of birth preparedness.




Figure 2: Pie chart showing the level of Birth Preparedness

Overall, a low level of birth preparedness was found. Out of the 270 pregnant women, 110 (40.7%) were found to be prepared for birth, while 160 (59.3%) were unprepared. Birth preparedness was assessed based on a total of 12 components. A woman who identified 7 or more components was considered prepared for birth, while the rest were deemed unprepared. Most of the population (81.1%) was booked. Only 26.5% had early bookings. Additionally, only 44.7% of the total population reported travel times of less than 30 minutes. 46.3% had arranged for emergency transportation, while 37.8% of the population knew the ambulance number. 56.7% had arranged for a skilled birth attendant. 42.6% had arranged for blood, and 67% for money. Moreover, 67% of the population had identified a care provider for the child if needed. 60.4% had made arrangements for the newborn, and 77.8% knew the advantages of breastfeeding, while 70% of them knew the proper breastfeeding technique.

The chi-square test shows that family income ($p=0.000$), educational status of the mother ($p=0.045$), occupational status of the husband ($p=0.000$), age of the mother ($p=0.004$), and last place of childbirth ($p=0.016$) were found to have a significant and very strong association with birth preparedness. Women with higher family income ($p=0.000$), formal education ($p=0.045$), employed husbands, especially in government jobs ($p=0.037$), higher parity ($p=0.056$), and urban residency showed better birth preparedness.

Discussion

Birth preparedness was evaluated based on 12 components. Women identifying 7 or more were deemed prepared, while those identifying fewer were considered unprepared. However, overall preparedness fell short, with only 40.7% of the population deemed ready for birth. This finding is consistent with the level of birth preparedness in some developing countries like

Ethiopia (37%)¹³, Cameroon (46%)¹, and Southwest Ethiopia (41%)¹. The birth preparedness level reported in this study is lower compared to that reported in Ghana (78%)

¹, North India (67.5%)¹, Saudi Arabia (65%)¹, and Southeast Nigeria (69.7%)¹¹ due to better health awareness. On the other hand, the study reported a higher birth preparedness compared to some other developing countries such as Tanzania (16.7%)¹, Kenya (11.4%)¹, Bangladesh (12.2%)¹, and even when compared to other provinces of Pakistan, such as KPK, where the birth preparedness level was found to be low¹ particularly owing to the low educational attainment by family and demand for a large family size due to social and cultural pressures. Similarly, in Thatta Sindh, only 21.2%¹ of the population was prepared for birth due to traditional societies and gender discriminatory practices.

Out of the 12 components on which birth preparedness was assessed, the most mentioned component was booking; 81.1% of the population was booked. Only 44.7% reported a travel time of 30 minutes or less, indicating that these women understand the importance of reaching the healthcare facility as early as possible at the time of delivery. Additionally, 46.3% (128) of the total population had arranged for emergency transportation. This finding is similar to the findings in Uganda (46%)¹² and Sindh (40.8%)¹². In Sindh, this is practiced mostly in urban societies.

Only 37.8% of the population knew the ambulance number. A good portion of the population, 56.7%, had arranged for a skilled birth attendant. This finding was lower than in Uganda, where 66.2% of the population had arranged for a skilled birth attendant. In Thatta Sindh, the level of arrangement for a skilled birth attendant was 39.9%, which is less compared to our finding in Rawalpindi, Punjab. This may be ascribed to the fact that most of the population in Thatta lives below the poverty line and is mostly uneducated.

Only 42.6% (115) of the total women's population had arranged for blood. This component of birth preparedness was better prepared compared to Uganda, Ethiopia, and

Thatta Sindh, where only 15%¹², 7.8%¹³, and 18.2%¹, respectively, had made arrangements. A major share of the population in our study, 67%, had arranged for

money. This finding was consistent with SNNPR Ethiopia, where 65% of the population had arranged the money needed for delivery¹. Additionally, 67% of the population had identified a care provider for their child if needed. 60.4% of the total population had made adequate arrangements for the care of their newborn. A very large proportion, 77.8%, knew the advantages of breastfeeding, while 70% knew the proper technique of breastfeeding.

Maternal education was found to have a significant impact on birth preparedness. Out of the total uneducated women's population, only 40.9% were prepared for birth, while of those who had achieved university-level education, 66.7% were prepared for birth. This finding is consistent with studies conducted in Tanzania¹ and South Ethiopia³. Educated women are empowered and more aware of birth-related complications. They can autonomously make decisions about seeking healthcare and grasp the importance of birth preparedness.

Birth preparedness is significantly linked to the spouse's occupation. Government employee spouses had the highest preparedness (64.7%) compared to private employees (43.3%) and daily wagers (35.8%). Only 33% of women with unemployed spouses were prepared. This finding is consistent with a study conducted in Southern Ethiopia³ but contradicts the results of studies in North India, Saudi Arabia, and

Southwest Ethiopia¹. Stable occupational status likely enables better birth preparations, as individuals can make necessary arrangements and provide their wives with greater access to healthcare facilities.

Family income significantly influenced birth preparedness ($p=0.00$). Only 25% of women with a family income below 20,000 were prepared for birth, while 76.9% of those with a family income exceeding 40,000 were prepared. This finding is consistent with research conducted in North India, a rural health center

in Mandara, Pakistan² and Thailand²². The link between birth preparedness and family income may stem from improved access to healthcare facilities and better arrangements for delivery. Our findings differ from those in Saudi Arabia, where family income wasn't linked to birth preparedness.

The mother's age emerged as another significant predictor ($p=0.004$). This finding corresponds to the study conducted in Kenya.

Similar findings were reported in the Kassena Nankana district of Ghana²¹. Our study found that 59.2% of women above 30 were prepared for birth, while only 36.7% below 30 were prepared. This increase in preparedness with age may be attributed to gaining experience and increased confidence in making health-related decisions as women grow older.

Birth preparedness significantly varied depending on the last place of childbirth. For women delivering in a hospital or healthcare setting, 47.7% were prepared, compared to only 14.3% prepared for those delivering at home.

This finding is consistent with the results of Thatta, where women delivering previously in a healthcare setting were found to be two times more prepared¹. Women delivering in healthcare settings receive guidance from healthcare workers, leading to greater awareness and knowledge of birth preparedness and newborn care.

Parity significantly influenced birth preparedness, with women having two or three children being more prepared compared to those with one or no children, likely due to increased experience with each childbirth.

Residence also played a role, but not significantly, with 41.8% of urban residents and 38.4% of rural residents prepared for birth. This

aligns with findings from Southeast Nigeria. Obstetric characteristics such as previous

birth- related complications and pre-existing medical conditions had little association with birth preparedness. Father's education showed no association with birth preparedness in our study.

However, this study is not without limitations. There is a need for larger sample size to improve generalisability and sampling should be random to avoid bias. Moreover, this study was only conducted among pregnant women. Therefore, to overcome the limitations encountered in this study, it is recommended that future researchers go further in assessing the roles of the family and the community in birth preparedness by following a different approach and using other study designs like follow-up studies.

Conclusion

The proportion of women who were found to be prepared for birth (when birth preparedness was assessed on the basis of various components reported by them) was found to be low, i.e., 40.7% in Rawalpindi, Punjab. It is possible to increase the general level of birth readiness through a variety of methods. These include educating the public about birth preparedness through community health workers, expanding the number of antenatal care clinics and the number of neonatal health care providers in these clinics, counseling expectant mothers on the importance of being prepared for childbirth at every antenatal visit, and empowering women. It is crucial to prioritize these interventions to ensure better outcomes for both mothers and newborns. Additionally, continuous monitoring and evaluation of the effectiveness of these programs will be essential to achieving sustainable improvements in birth preparedness.

References

1. John Masoi T, Mathew Kibusi S, Athanas L, Ernest Ibolinga A. The Pattern and Level of Knowledge on Obstetric and Newborn Danger Signs and Birth Preparedness among Pregnant Women in Dodoma Municipal: A Cross Sectional Study. *East African Health Research Journal* 2020; 4:73–80. <https://doi.org/10.24248/eahrj.v4i1.624>
2. Ghani U, Crowther S, Kamal Y, Wahab M. The significance of interfamilial relationships on birth preparedness and complication readiness in Pakistan. *Women and Birth* 2019;32: e49–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2018.03.005>.
3. Iyasu A, Ayana Hordofa M, Zeleke H, Leshargie CT. Level and factors associated with birth preparedness and complication readiness among semi-pastoral pregnant women in southern Ethiopia, 2016. *BMC Research Notes* 2018;11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3539-7>.
4. Girum T, Wasie A. Correlates of maternal mortality in developing countries: an ecological study in 82 countries. *Maternal Health, Neonatology and Perinatology* 2017;3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40748-017-0059-8>.
5. Ekabua JE, Ekabua KJ, Odusolu P, Agan TU, Iklaki CU, Etokidem AJ. Awareness of Birth Preparedness and Complication Readiness in Southeastern Nigeria. *ISRN Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2011; 2011:1–6. <https://doi.org/10.5402/2011/560641>.
6. Kaur V. Assessment of Birth Preparedness Practices and Preferences towards delivery among Primigravida Women in North India. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology* 2020; 7:225–34. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0197693>
7. Alatawi M, Faheem WA, Alabdulaziz H. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Primigravida Women on Birth Preparedness. *The Open Nursing Journal* 2021; 15:38–46. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874434602115010038>.
8. Pervin J, Nu UT, Rahman AMQ, Rahman M, Uddin B, Razzaque A, et al. Level and determinants of birth preparedness and complication readiness among pregnant women: A cross sectional study in a rural area in Bangladesh. *PLOS ONE* 2018;13: e0209076. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209076>.
9. Qazi U, Latif A, Irshad G, Malik FR, Anwar S. Assessment of birth preparedness and complication readiness among pregnant women attending the Obs/Gynae wards in two teaching hospitals in Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics* 2020; 150:324–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.13227>.
10. Iloghalu E, Ugwu E, Obi S. Determinants of birth preparedness and complication readiness: A cross-sectional study of parturient in a tertiary health institution in South-East Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 2020; 23:1456. https://doi.org/10.4103/njcp.njcp_254_19.

11. Florence M, Atuhaire C, Nkfusai CN, Shirinde J, Cumber SN. Knowledge and practice of birth preparedness and complication readiness among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in Openzinzi Hciii, Adjumani District, Uganda. *Pan African Medical Journal* 2019;34. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2019.34.46.16869>.
12. Zepre K, Kaba M. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among rural women of
14. Ijang YP, Cumber SNN, Nkfusai CN, Venyuy MA, Bede F, Tebeu PM. Awareness and practice of birth preparedness and complication readiness among pregnant women in the Bamenda Health District, Cameroon. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2019;19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2511-4>.
15. Klobodu C, Milliron B-J, Agyabeng K, Akweongo P, Adomah-Afari A. Maternal birth preparedness and complication readiness in the Greater Accra region of Ghana: a cross-sectional study of two urban health facilities. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2020;20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03263-6>.
16. Begashaw B, Tesfaye Y, Zelalem E, Ubong U, Kumalo A. Assessment of Birth Preparedness and Complication Readiness among Pregnant Mothers Attending Ante Natal Care Service in Mizan-Tepi University Teaching Hospital, South West Ethiopia. *Clinics in Mother and Child Health* 2017;14. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2090-7214.1000257>.
17. Ketema DB, Leshargie CT, Kibret GD, Assemie MA, Petrucka P, Alebel A. Effects of maternal education on birth preparedness and complication readiness among Ethiopian pregnant women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2020;20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-2812-7>.
- reproductive age in Abeshige district, Guraghe zone, SNNPR, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Women's Health* 2016; Volume 9:11–21. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ijwh.s111769>.
13. Noor R, Shahid F, Hydrie MZI, Imran M, Shah SHBU. Factors influencing birth preparedness and complication readiness among childbearing age women in Thatta district, Sindh. *PLOS ONE* 2022;17: e0275243. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275243>.
18. Orwa J, Gatimu SM, Mantel M, Luchters S, Mugerwa MA, Brownie S, et al. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among women of reproductive age in Kenya and Tanzania: a community-based cross-sectional survey. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2020;20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03329-5>.
19. Maroof S, Rashid Z, Sharif I, Alam M, Nawaz R, Nawaz S, et al. A Step Towards Lowering Maternal Mortality: Adoption of Elements of WHO's Safe Childbirth Checklist at A Tertiary Care Hospital of Rawalpindi, Pakistan: A Cross Sectional Survey. *The International Journal of Frontier Sciences* 2019; 3:91–8. <https://doi.org/10.37978/tijfs.v3i2.53>.
20. Saaka M, Alhassan L. Prevalence and predictors of birth preparedness and complication readiness in the Kassena-Nankana district of Ghana: an analytical cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2021;11: e042906. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042906>.
21. Kiataphiwasu N, Kaewkiattikun K. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among pregnant women attending antenatal care at the Faculty of Medicine Vajira Hospital, Thailand. *International Journal of Women's Health* 2018; Volume 10:797–804. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ijwh.s185589>

